

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria Wirginia 22313-1450 www.usploigo.

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/501,517	02/09/2000	Peter J. Ashwood Smith	9-13528-95US	3968
20988	7590 11/19/2003		EXAMINER	
OGILVY RENAULT 1981 MCGILL COLLEGE AVENUE SUITE 1600 MONTREAL, QC H3A2Y3 CANADA			STEVENS, ROBERTA A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2665	1
CANADA		•	DATE MAILED: 11/19/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

3		THE STATE OF THE S				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/501,517	ASHWOOD SMITH, PETER J.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Roberta A Stevens	2665				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appreciate for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Se	eptember 2003.	•				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This a	action is non-final.	•				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) <u>21-45</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-20</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	•				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not receive	on No ed in this National Stage				
since a specific reference was included in the firs 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provately Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	t sentence of the specification or visional application has been rec	in an Application Data Sheet.				
reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 		atent Application (PTO-152)				
o,onador biodocaro datoriorido, (1 10-1440) 1 aper 140(5)	3/ 04/6/	·				

Application/Control Number: 09/501,517

Art Unit: 2665

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Heinzmann (U.S. 5173898).
- 3. Heinzmann teaches (figure 2) a method of routing variable-length packet data across a communications network having a plurality of data communications channels, comprising: inverse-multiplexing a data packet into a frame comprising: a label block containing label information of the frame; and two or more respective payload blocks having a predetermined length; transmitting the label block over a label channel of the network; and transmitting each payload block over respective separate data channels of the network.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Page 2

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/501,517

Art Unit: 2665

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 5. Claims 2-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heinzmann.
- 6. Regarding claims 2, as for the network being a wave division multiplex optical network, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt this type of protocol as WDM is well known in the art.
- 7. Regarding claim 3, as for adapting MPLS to the network, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt MPLS to Heinzmann's system as MPLS is well known in the art.
- 8. Regarding claim 4, as for the packet data traffic comprises IP packets, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt IP to Heinzmann's system to take advantage of inverse multiplexing in the IP environment as IP is well known in the art.
- 9. Regarding claim 5, Heinzmann teaches (columns 3-4) a number N of payload blocks and the predetermined length of each payload block of each frame are selected based on an expected mean size of the data packets.
- 10. Regarding claim 6, Heinzmann teaches (columns 3-4) inverse multiplexing comprises dividing the data packet into N packets segments of substantially equal size.
- 11. Regarding claim 7, Heizmann teaches (columns 3-4) when the size of each packet segment is less than or equal to a capacity of each payload block, a step of transmitting each packet segment within a respective payload block of a single frame.
- 12. Regarding claim 8, when the size of each packet segment is greater than a capacity of each payload block, subdividing each packet segment into two or more portions, each portion

Application/Control Number: 09/501,517

Art Unit: 2665

having a size equal to or less than the capacity of a payload block; and transmitting each portion

within respective payload blocks of respective successive frames.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 13. Claims 10-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 14. Claims 21-45 are allowed.

Conclusion

- 15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Darnell (U.S. 6374314) and Counterman (U.S. 6222858 B1) are cited to show the state of the art.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning the communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roberta Stevens whose telephone number is (703) 308-6607. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:00 am to 5:30 p.m.
- 12. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor can be reached on (703) 308-6602.
- 13. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/501,517

Art Unit: 2665

14. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to: (703) 746-9515

For informal draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive,

Arlington, VA. Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Roberta A. Stevens

Patent Examiner

11-14-03

STEVEN H.D. NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER